

440B St. Philippe Street Alfred, Ontario K0B 1A0 Ph: (613) 679-4631 Fax: (613) 679-4735

March 28<sup>th</sup>, 2019

Charlie Primeau Water Compliance Supervisor Ministry of Environment and Climate Change 2430 Don Reid Dr, Unit 103 Ottawa, ON K1H 1E1

# RE: Rockland Wastewater Treatment Works 2018 Annual Report

Dear Mr. Primeau,

This report summarizes various areas of activity and evaluates the performance of the Rockland Wastewater Pollution Control Plant (WPCP) during 2018 in accordance with Condition 15(3) (a) to (g) of the Special Terms and Conditions of the Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA), number 1990-9P3PRG.

# a) Executive Summary

The Average Day Flow (ADF) of  $4,415m^3/day$  experienced in 2018 represents 64.93% of the  $6,800 m^3/day$  ADF Design Criteria. The Rockland WPCP treated effluent met all the ECA effluent concentration limits and loading requirements throughout 2018.

During 2018, approximately 10,100m<sup>3</sup> of biosolids was transferred from the WPCP to the on-site drying lagoons, with final disposal of 2,378 m<sup>3</sup> of partially dried biosolids to approved NASM farmland. The bottom drains of the east drying lagoon are scheduled to be replaced on January of 2019 to allow better dewatering.

Odour complaints were received from neighboring businesses near the sewage plant on Industrial Street during the summer period of 2018. These odours originated from the biosolids storage lagoons located north of the sewage plant as well as the aerobic digester during maintenance work on the SBRs. In effort to minimize these odors; OCWA continued to add an MOE approved product called Bio-Desolve to the aerobic digester year round and scheduled maintenance work during favorable conditions.



# Major maintenance activities during 2018 were:

- Annual inspection of lifting devices, March
- Cleaned out all pumping station wet wells with Aqua Drain
- Cleaned out chlorine contact tank, May 15<sup>th</sup>
- Cleaned out SBR#2 for annual maintenance, coating was repaired ,May 30<sup>th</sup>
- Started to schedule the cleaning of the inlet basket at station #1 on a weekly basis from bi-weekly due to excessive fat and wipes June 1<sup>st</sup>
- City of Clarence Rockland contracted out work in zone 2 to repair infiltration on gravity sewer pipes and manholes
- Cleaned out SBR#3 for annual maintenance, coating was repaired, July 12<sup>th</sup>
- Sanitary sewer flushing by Aqua Drain started September 20<sup>th</sup> in zone 2 and 3
- Force main sewer was repaired near 1024 Laviolette street, August 3<sup>rd</sup>
- Aquadrain in to flush sanitary sewers in basin #2 and #3 from September 20<sup>th</sup> to October 30<sup>th</sup>
- Cleaned out SBR#1 for annual maintenance, November 27<sup>th</sup>
- Hauled 2,378 m<sup>3</sup> of biosolids from east lagoon cell to NASM approved fields November 13<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup>
- Rebuilt the two sodium hypochlorite pumps in December
- Cleaned out all pumping station wet wells, Aquadrain, October

# b) Monitoring Program

The facility was monitored according to Section 6 of the ECA. The total suspended solids were slighty above the ECA Effluent objectives for the month of July, August and November due to cleaning and maintenance of the SBR. Ph results were slightly below the objective during the month of July and August due to the warm weather. A summary of the Effluent Compliance Performance is summarized below in Table 1.

| Effluent Limit and C of A Requirements |                                     |                               |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Effluent<br>Parameter                  | C of A<br>Effluent<br>Limit<br>mg/l | Maximum<br>Monthly<br>Average | Annual Average<br>Sample<br>Concentration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CBOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L)               | 15                                  | 7.0                           | 4.28                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suspended Solids<br>(mg/L)             | 15                                  | 19.25                         | 14.4                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Phosphorus                       | .8                                  | .70                           | .474                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ph                                     | 6.5 - 9.5                           | NA                            | 6.3 - 7.2                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| Table 1                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Effluent Limit and C of A Requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



The facility was monitored according to Section 7 of the ECA. The Treated Effluent remained in compliance with all monthly and annual effluent concentration limits and total loadings required by the ECA. Summary of the Effluent Compliance Performance is summarized below in Table 2.

| Table 2                                |                    |         |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--------------------|---------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Effluent Limit and C of A Requirements |                    |         |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter                              | C of A<br>Effluent | Monthly | Annual Average<br>Sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Limit              | Average | Concentration            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | mg/l               |         |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CBOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L)               | 25                 | 7.0     | 4.28                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suspended Solids                       | 25                 | 19.25   | 14.4                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (mg/L)                                 |                    |         |                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Phosphorus                       | 1.0                | .70     | .474                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ph                                     | 6.0 - 9.5          | NA      | 6.3 - 7.2                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| As of fall 2018 the City was still in the design process for the installation of preliminary        |
|---|
| screening at the sewage plant in an effort to reduce process stream blockages and improve           |
| process efficiency and effluent quality. Refer to Appendix I: 2018 Performance Assessment           |
| <b>Report</b> for a summary of the raw and treated wastewater monitoring results and the facility's |
| performance and Appendix II: 2018 Annual Effluent Quality Report for a summary of the               |
| facility's Compliance. The effluent quality improved in 2018 due to the diligent efforts of         |
| operation staff in optimizing the processes.  |

During 2018, quarterly average effluent monitoring was required to meet the Federal Wastewater Systems Effluent Regulations (WSER). The effluent quality met all WSER criteria for each quarter during the calendar year of 2018. Table 1 below is a summary of the results submitted to the Effluent Regulatory Reporting Information System



440B St. Philippe Street Alfred, Ontario K0B 1A0 Ph: (613) 679-4631 Fax: (613) 679-4735

| Effluent                 | WSER      | Q1        | Q2          | Q3         | Q4        | Number of |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter                | Effluent  | Jan-March | April- June | July- Sept | Oct – Dec | Samples   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Quality   |           |             |            |           | Above     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Standard  |           |             |            |           | Standard  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CBOD <sub>5</sub> (mg/L) | ≤ 25.0    | 3.12      | 6.3         | 4.9        | 3.1       | 4.2       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Suspended Solids         | ≤ 25.0    | 12.1      | 13.3        | 18.3       | 17.5      | 14.5      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (mg/L)                   |           |           |             |            |           |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acute Lethality to       | No        | No        | 0%          | No         | No        | 0         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rainbow Trout            | sampling  | sampling  | Mortality   | sampling   | sampling  |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| and                      | due to    | due to    |             | due to     | due to    |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daphnia Magna            | reduced   | reduced   |             | reduced    | reduced   |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | frequency | frequency |             | frequency  | frequency |           |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1WSER Effluent Monitoring Data

# c) Hydraulic Flows

The Average Day Flows (ADF) reaching the Wastewater Pollution Control Plant in 2018 was 4,415m<sup>3</sup>/day. The ADF remained below the 6,800 m<sup>3</sup>/day Design Value of the facility. It was observed that the 2018 ADF were approximately 14.38 % lower than the 5,050 m<sup>3</sup>/day experienced in 2017. This is mainly due to the hot summer and lower precipitation. A Maximum Day Flow (MDF) of 8,341m<sup>3</sup>/day occurred in March during the spring thaw. This MDF represents 48.10 % of the 17,340 m<sup>3</sup>/d MDF Design Value. The 2018 WPCP flows can be summarized as follows:

| Design | 2018  | Percent                                 |
|--------|---|---|
| Flow   | Flow  | of Design                               |
| 6,800  | 4,515   | 66.39 %                                 |
| 17,340 | 8,341   | 48.10 %                                 |
|        | <b>Design</b><br><b>Flow</b><br>6,800<br>17,340 | Design2018FlowFlow6,8004,51517,3408,341 |

## d) Uncommitted Reserve Capacity

Based on the past 3 years of operation, the Rockland Wastewater Pollution Control Plant currently has a Hydraulic Reserve Capacity (Cr) of 2,199 m<sup>3</sup>/day and an average per capita flow (F) of 0.308 m<sup>3</sup>/cap/day. The facility currently has an Uncommitted Hydraulic Reserve Capacity (Cu) of 822 m<sup>3</sup>/day, which can only accommodate an additional 992 equivalent residential units (Lu) in addition to the already committed 1661 Unconnected Approved Lots (L) in 2018. Refer to **Appendix III: 2018 Uncommitted Reserve Capacity - Sewage** for additional information.



#### e) Bypass and Emergency Conditions

- A raw sewage backup of the collection system occurred on February 27<sup>th</sup> 2018 on Pouliotte St. Reported to SAC under report # 7146-AWDN9J.
- A contained raw unchlorinated sewage spill occurred on December 5th 2018 at pumping station #7 due to a broken air realease valve in the wetwell chamber cause spillage to come out of the wet well covers. Reported to SAC under report #4161-B7MJX

## Refer to Appendix IV: 2018 Notification of Spill Event Reported to SAC

## f) Calibrations

The raw wastewater magnetic flowmeter at the main pumping station (SPS #1) was calibrated on July 20<sup>th</sup> 2018. Refer to **Appendix V: 2018 Calibration Report**.

## g) Biosolids Management

During 2018 approximately 2,378 m<sup>3</sup> of biosolids was transferred from the WPCP aerated digester to the 2 on-site drying lagoons. Partially dried biosolids was hauled from the west and east cells during November 13<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup>. A total of 1,298m3 of partially dried biosolids was hauled to NASM approved site plan # 22007 owned by Pascal Roy which expires December 31<sup>st</sup> 2019. A total of 1080 m<sup>3</sup> was hauled to the NASM approved site plan #22764 owned by Serge Ethier which expires December 31<sup>st</sup> 2020. For addition information please refer to Appendix VI: 2018 NASM Annual Summaries for a summary of the amounts of biosolids utilized on each farm.

The application of Biosolids to Approved Lands and the Landfill will continue in 2019 under the Nutrient Management Act and Certificate of Approval for the Landfill. In order to ensure the availability of Approved Farm Lands, the Ontario Clean Water Agency will re-certify NASM plans in 2019.

If you have any questions with the above information please contact Maurice Benoit at (613)-679-4631.

Yours truly,

Patrick Lalonde PCT OCWA Prescott Russell Cluster



440B St. Philippe Street Alfred, Ontario K0B 1A0 Ph: (613) 679-4631 Fax: (613) 679-4735

#### Attachments:

| Appendix I:   | 2018 Performance Assessment Report               |
|---------------|--|
| Appendix II:  | 2018 Annual Effluent Quality Report              |
| Appendix III: | 2018 Uncommitted Reserve Capacity - Sewage       |
| Appendix IV:  | 2018 Notification of Spill Event Reported to SAC |
| Appendix V:   | 2018 Calibration Report                          |
| Appendix VI:  | 2018 NASM Annual Summaries                       |
|               |  |

c.c.: Dave Darch, Director of Infrastructure and Planning, City Clarence-Rockland Philippe Cormier, Environment Manager, Infrastructure and Engineering City Clarence-Rockland Maurice Benoit, Senior Operations Manager, OCWA, Prescott Russell Cluster Vanessa Greatrix, Safety Process Compliance Manager, OCWA, Eastern Region

# Appendix I

#### Ontario Clean Water Agency Performance Assessment Report Wastewater/Lagoon From: 01/01/2018 to 31/12/2018

#### Facility: [6816] ROCKLAND WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY

Works: [110001159]

|  | 01/2018   | 02/2018   | 03/2018   | 04/2018   | 05/2018   | 06/2018   | 07/2018   | 08/2018   | 09/2018   | 10/2018   | 11/2018   | 12/2018   | <total></total> | <avg></avg> | <max></max> |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Flows:   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Raw Flow: Total - Raw Sewage (m <sup>3</sup> )           | 125533.00 | 115650.00 | 156422.00 | 179521.00 | 137584.00 | 127084.00 | 120925.00 | 123956.00 | 116919.00 | 118572.00 | 142658.00 | 146595.00 | 1611419.00      |             |             |
| Raw Flow: Avg - Raw Sewage (m³/d)                        | 4049.45   | 4130.36   | 5045.87   | 5984.03   | 4438.19   | 4236.13   | 3900.81   | 3998.58   | 3897.30   | 3824.90   | 4755.27   | 4728.87   |                 | 4415.81     |             |
| Raw Flow: Max - Raw Sewage (m³/d)                        | 6542.00   | 6211.00   | 8341.00   | 8018.00   | 5236.00   | 5745.00   | 6087.00   | 4913.00   | 4484.00   | 3906.00   | 5970.00   | 6074.00   |                 |             | 8341.00     |
| Eff. Flow: Total - WPCP Effluent (m <sup>3</sup> )       | 125533.00 | 115650.00 | 156422.00 | 179521.00 | 137584.00 | 127084.00 | 120925.00 | 123956.00 | 116919.00 | 118572.00 | 142658.00 | 146595.00 | 1611419.00      |             |             |
| Eff. Flow: Avg - WPCP Effluent (m³/d)                    | 4049.45   | 4130.36   | 5045.87   | 5984.03   | 4438.19   | 4236.13   | 3900.81   | 3998.58   | 3897.30   | 3824.90   | 4755.27   | 4728.87   |                 | 4415.81     |             |
| Eff. Flow: Max - WPCP Effluent (m³/d)                    | 6542.00   | 6211.00   | 8341.00   | 8018.00   | 5236.00   | 5745.00   | 6087.00   | 4913.00   | 4484.00   | 3906.00   | 5970.00   | 6074.00   |                 |             | 8341.00     |
| Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand: CBOD:            |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Eff: Avg cBOD5 - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                    | < 3.000   | < 3.750   | < 3.000   | < 3.000   | < 7.000   | < 4.500   | < 6.600   | < 4.750   | < 3.000   | < 2.800   | < 6.250   | < 3.750   |                 | <           | < 7.000     |
| Loading: cBOD5 - WPCP Effluent (kg/d)                    | < 12.148  | < 15.489  | < 15.138  | < 17.952  | < 31.067  | < 19.063  | < 25.745  | < 18.993  | < 11.692  | < 10.710  | < 29.720  | < 17.733  |                 | < 18.788    | < 31.067    |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand: BOD5:                         |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Raw: Avg BOD5 - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                        | 221.600   | 201.000   | 151.250   | 157.400   | 152.250   | 173.750   | 178.000   | 183.750   | 124.750   | 191.400   | 218.500   | 154.250   |                 | 175.658     | 221.600     |
| Raw: # of samples of BOD5 - Raw Sewage (mg/L)            | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Total Suspended Solids: TSS:                             |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Raw: Avg TSS - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                         | 542.400   | 378.000   | 460.000   | 428.000   | 258.500   | 302.500   | 284.400   | 237.500   | 185.000   | 308.000   | 255.000   | 375.000   |                 | 334.525     | 542.400     |
| Raw: # of samples of TSS - Raw Sewage (mg/L)             | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Eff: Avg TSS - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                      | 11.800    | 14.250    | 10.250    | 12.400    | 13.250    | 12.500    | 18.800    | 16.750    | 19.250    | 13.800    | 19.250    | 10.500    |                 | 14.400      | 19.250      |
| Eff: # of samples of TSS - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)          | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Loading: TSS - WPCP Effluent (kg/d)                      | 47.784    | 58.858    | 51.720    | 74.202    | 58.806    | 52.952    | 73.335    | 66.976    | 75.023    | 52.784    | 91.539    | 49.653    |                 | 62.803      | 91.539      |
| Percent Removal: TSS - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                 | 97.824    | 96.230    | 97.772    | 97.103    | 94.874    | 95.868    | 93.390    | 92.947    | 89.595    | 95.519    | 92.451    | 97.200    |                 |             | 97.824      |
| Total Phosphorus: TP:                                    |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Raw: Avg TP - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                          | 8.630     | 6.193     | 4.670     | 5.068     | 6.140     | 6.958     | 6.330     | 6.493     | 5.720     | 6.728     | 6.588     | 6.050     |                 | 6.297       | 8.630       |
| Raw: # of samples of TP - Raw Sewage (mg/L)              | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Eff: Avg TP - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                       | 0.320     | 0.460     | 0.295     | 0.388     | 0.455     | 0.490     | 0.700     | 0.610     | 0.660     | 0.488     | 0.533     | 0.293     |                 | 0.474       | 0.700       |
| Eff: # of samples of TP - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)           | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Loading: TP - WPCP Effluent (kg/d)                       | 1.296     | 1.900     | 1.489     | 2.322     | 2.019     | 2.076     | 2.731     | 2.439     | 2.572     | 1.867     | 2.532     | 1.383     |                 | 2.052       | 2.731       |
| Percent Removal: TP - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                  | 96.292    | 92.572    | 93.683    | 92.344    | 92.590    | 92.957    | 88.942    | 90.605    | 88.462    | 92.747    | 91.917    | 95.165    |                 |             | 96.292      |
| Nitrogen Series:   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Raw: Avg TKN - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                         | 54.880    | 49.850    | 44.700    | 38.800    | 52.950    | 55.100    | 50.080    | 50.225    | 51.150    | 57.060    | 54.025    | 47.650    |                 | 50.539      | 57.060      |
| Raw: # of samples of TKN - Raw Sewage (mg/L)             | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Eff: Avg TAN - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                      | 18.300    | 19.525    | 14.550    | 13.960    | 18.100    | 19.125    | 22.640    | 17.875    | 20.075    | 18.500    | 17.175    | 15.775    |                 | 17.967      | 22.640      |
| Eff: # of samples of TAN - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)          | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Loading: TAN - WPCP Effluent (kg/d)                      | 74.105    | 80.645    | 73.417    | 83.537    | 80.331    | 81.016    | 88.314    | 71.475    | 78.238    | 70.761    | 81.672    | 74.598    |                 | 78.176      | 88.314      |
| Eff: Avg NO3-N - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                    | < 0.160   | < 0.175   | < 0.250   | < 0.460   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.125   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.475   |                 | < 0.187     | < 0.475     |
| Eff: # of samples of NO3-N - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)        | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Eff: Avg NO2-N - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                    | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.125   |                 | < 0.102     | < 0.125     |
| Eff: # of samples of NO2-N - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)        | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Disinfection:  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Eff: GMD E. Coli - WPCP Effluent (cfu/100mL)             | 2.000     | 2.000     | 2.000     | 2.000     | 2.000     | 2.632     | 6.662     | 2.632     | 2.000     | 2.000     | 2.632     | 2.000     |                 | 2.547       | 6.662       |
| Eff: # of samples of E. Coli - WPCP Effluent (cfu/100mL) | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |

# Appendix II

|                  | Average Day | Maximum Day | CE    | BOD5    | TS    | S       | Т     | P       | E. Coli    |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|------------|
|                  | Flow (ADF)  | Flow (MDF)  | Conc. | Loading | Conc. | Loading | Conc. | Loading | Monthly GM |
|                  | m3/day      | m3/day      | mg/L  | Kg      | mg/L  | Kg      | mg/L  | Kg      | org/100 ml |
|                  |             |             |       |         |       |         |       |         |            |
| Compliance Limit | 6,800       | 17,340      | 25    | 170     | 25    | 170     | 1.00  | 6.8     |            |
| Objective        | 6,800       | 17,340      | 15    | 102     | 15    | 102     | 1.00  | 6.8     | 200        |
| Actual           | 4,415       | 8,341       | 4.28  | 18.78   | 14.40 | 62.80   | 0.474 | 2.05    | 2.54       |
| Met Limit        | Yes         | Yes         | Yes   | Yes     | Yes   | Yes     | Yes   | Yes     | Yes        |
| Met Objective    | Yes         | Yes         | Yes   | Yes     | Yes   | Yes     | Yes   | Yes     | Yes        |

Notes: The maximum Monthly E. Coli Geometric Mean was during the month of July 2018 with a 6.662 cfu/100ml.

# Appendix III

# 2018 UNCOMMITTED RESERVE CAPACITY - SEWAGE

# MUNICIPALITY: <u>City of Clarence-Rockland</u>

## LOCATION IN MUNICIPALITY: <u>Rockland Sewage Works</u>

#### Uncommitted Hydraulic Reserve Capacity (Cu)

Uncommitted hydraulic reserve capacity for water supply and treatment facilities is based on:

#### $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{r} \cdot (\mathbf{P} / \mathbf{H}) \mathbf{x} \mathbf{F} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{L}$

| Cr    | = Hydraulic Reserve Capacity  |
|-------|---|
|       | = Treatment Facility Average Daily Flow Design of 6,800 $\text{m}^3$ /day less Existing Average Daily Flow of 4,601 $\text{m}^3$ /day (based on average of past 3 years: 4,337 $\text{m}^3$ /day in 2016 and 5,050 $\text{m}^3$ /day in 2017 and 4.415 $\text{m}^3$ /day in 2018. |
|       | $= 6,800 \text{ m}^3/\text{day} - 4,601 \text{ m}^3/\text{day} = 2,199 \text{ m}^3/\text{day}$  |
| Р     | = Existing Connected Population   |
|       | = 14,927  |
| н     | = Number of Connected Residential Units   |
|       | = 5,549   |
| <br>F | = Existing Per Capita Flow  |
|       | = 4,601 m <sup>3</sup> /day/ 14,927 Connected Pop = 0.308 m <sup>3</sup> /cap/day   |
| L     | = Number of Unconnected Approved Lots (equivalent number of residential units).   |

L = Number of Unconnected Approved Lots (equivalent number of residential units) Please refer to the attached 2018 letter from the City of Clarence-Rockland. (a) Infilling of vacant lots/units in registered plans of subdivision or created by consent to split existing registered lots in serviced area: (single family, semi-detached, multiple units, condominiums.

= 156 units

(b) Residential lots/units in draft plans of subdivision.

= 1,485 units

(c) Proposals with approved zoning for multiple residential development.

= 20 units

(d) Proposals with approved zoning for institutional, commercial, or industrial which would significantly change the proportion of non residential flow in the total flow.

= 2 units

Total L = (a) + (b) + (c) + (d) = 156+1485+20+2 = 1,663

| SUMMARY: | $Cu = Cr - (P / H) \times F \times L$                                |
|----------|--|
|          | $= 2,199 - (14,927/5,549) \ge 0.308 \ge 1,663$                       |
|          | $= 2,199 - (2.69 \times 0.308 \times 1,663)$                         |
|          | = 2,199- 1,377   |
|          | = 822 m <sup>3</sup> /day Uncommitted Hydraulic Reserve Capacity for |
|          | Average Daily Flow.  |

The Uncommitted Hydraulic Reserve Capacity (Cu) assumes that future daily sewage flows per capita for both existing and future residents will be similar to historical flows.

**Compliance with Certificate of Approval:** 

------

**<u>By-passing:</u>** ( in collection systems, at pumping stations or at treatment facilities-caused by inflow/infiltration, not be operational resolvable problems)

**Historic:** High inflows occur at the sewage plant during the spring thaw, flows return to normal once the snow melt ends. These flows often surpass the facility design flow of 6800m3/day.

**Projected:** No anticipated problems

Effluent Quality: Compliance with Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA)

**Historic:** The Rockland Wastewater Pollution Plant (WWTP) met all Effluent Quality limits outlined in the ECA over the past 10 years with the exception of a Total Phosphorus exceedance in February of 2015. The Facility met all ECA limits and objectives parameters during 2018 Please refer to the attached Performance Assessment Report for 2018. The effluent improved in 2018 due to the diligent efforts of the operation staff to optimize the process.

**Projected:** The City of Clarence-Rockland is undertaking upgrades to the Sewage Treatment Plant and Pump Station #1 to improve odor control through increased grit removal and screening, to normalize peak flows from inflow and infiltration through storage equalization, and to increase the pumping and conveyance capacity to the plant. Site Investigations and Preliminary Design have started and will be was completed in the fall of 2018. A Public Information Centre (PIC) to present the preliminary preferred alternative was held on November 1<sup>st</sup> 2018. Tender process and construction is projected for 2019.

#### Uncommitted Number of Lots (Lu)

The **Cu** indicated sufficient uncommitted hydraulic reserve capacity **without further conservation measures** for an additional

residential unit, or the equivalent, **in addition to the 1661 units already committed**. By-passing and effluent quality non-compliance problems are not expected with full utilization of the **Cu**.

## **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Continued efforts of conducting camera inspection of the collection system to remediate inflow/infiltration. Resolving the infiltration issues could provide the City with additional capacity to accommodate additional residential units, in addition to those currently committed.
- The City should inquire on the unconnected approved lots which have not been constructed within the last 5 years.

## **CONCLUSIONS:**

• Assuming that the existing per wastewater flows of **0.308 m<sup>3</sup>/cap/day** (296 L/cap/day) remains constant, there should be sufficient uncommitted reserve capacity at the Rockland WPCP for an additional **992 equivalent residential units** in addition to the 1661 already committed units.

- As part of the Rockland WWTP Review initiated by OCWA's ES in the fall of 2014, process treatment issues have been identified and solutions were recommended to improve the current WWTP performance.
- The City should also consider the 346 committed units that were approved in 2017. The high number of committed unit is decreasing the reserve capacity. The time frame for the building and occupancy of these committed units are for future development and not considered to be fully occupied in 2019.

PREPARED BY: Patrick Lalonde, Process Compliance Technician, OCWA

# DATE: March 28<sup>th</sup> 2019

Attachments: 2018 Performance Assessment Report Statistiques-Serv-Physiques - Population & Connection

#### Ontario Clean Water Agency Performance Assessment Report Wastewater/Lagoon From: 01/01/2018 to 31/12/2018

#### Facility: [6816] ROCKLAND WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY

Works: [110001159]

|  | 01/2018   | 02/2018   | 03/2018   | 04/2018   | 05/2018   | 06/2018   | 07/2018   | 08/2018   | 09/2018   | 10/2018   | 11/2018   | 12/2018   | <total></total> | <avg></avg> | <max></max> |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|
| Flows:   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Raw Flow: Total - Raw Sewage (m <sup>3</sup> )           | 125533.00 | 115650.00 | 156422.00 | 179521.00 | 137584.00 | 127084.00 | 120925.00 | 123956.00 | 116919.00 | 118572.00 | 142658.00 | 146595.00 | 1611419.00      |             |             |
| Raw Flow: Avg - Raw Sewage (m³/d)                        | 4049.45   | 4130.36   | 5045.87   | 5984.03   | 4438.19   | 4236.13   | 3900.81   | 3998.58   | 3897.30   | 3824.90   | 4755.27   | 4728.87   |                 | 4415.81     |             |
| Raw Flow: Max - Raw Sewage (m³/d)                        | 6542.00   | 6211.00   | 8341.00   | 8018.00   | 5236.00   | 5745.00   | 6087.00   | 4913.00   | 4484.00   | 3906.00   | 5970.00   | 6074.00   |                 |             | 8341.00     |
| Eff. Flow: Total - WPCP Effluent (m <sup>3</sup> )       | 125533.00 | 115650.00 | 156422.00 | 179521.00 | 137584.00 | 127084.00 | 120925.00 | 123956.00 | 116919.00 | 118572.00 | 142658.00 | 146595.00 | 1611419.00      |             |             |
| Eff. Flow: Avg - WPCP Effluent (m³/d)                    | 4049.45   | 4130.36   | 5045.87   | 5984.03   | 4438.19   | 4236.13   | 3900.81   | 3998.58   | 3897.30   | 3824.90   | 4755.27   | 4728.87   |                 | 4415.81     |             |
| Eff. Flow: Max - WPCP Effluent (m³/d)                    | 6542.00   | 6211.00   | 8341.00   | 8018.00   | 5236.00   | 5745.00   | 6087.00   | 4913.00   | 4484.00   | 3906.00   | 5970.00   | 6074.00   |                 |             | 8341.00     |
| Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand: CBOD:            |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Eff: Avg cBOD5 - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                    | < 3.000   | < 3.750   | < 3.000   | < 3.000   | < 7.000   | < 4.500   | < 6.600   | < 4.750   | < 3.000   | < 2.800   | < 6.250   | < 3.750   |                 | <           | < 7.000     |
| Loading: cBOD5 - WPCP Effluent (kg/d)                    | < 12.148  | < 15.489  | < 15.138  | < 17.952  | < 31.067  | < 19.063  | < 25.745  | < 18.993  | < 11.692  | < 10.710  | < 29.720  | < 17.733  |                 | < 18.788    | < 31.067    |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand: BOD5:                         |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Raw: Avg BOD5 - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                        | 221.600   | 201.000   | 151.250   | 157.400   | 152.250   | 173.750   | 178.000   | 183.750   | 124.750   | 191.400   | 218.500   | 154.250   |                 | 175.658     | 221.600     |
| Raw: # of samples of BOD5 - Raw Sewage (mg/L)            | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Total Suspended Solids: TSS:                             |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Raw: Avg TSS - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                         | 542.400   | 378.000   | 460.000   | 428.000   | 258.500   | 302.500   | 284.400   | 237.500   | 185.000   | 308.000   | 255.000   | 375.000   |                 | 334.525     | 542.400     |
| Raw: # of samples of TSS - Raw Sewage (mg/L)             | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Eff: Avg TSS - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                      | 11.800    | 14.250    | 10.250    | 12.400    | 13.250    | 12.500    | 18.800    | 16.750    | 19.250    | 13.800    | 19.250    | 10.500    |                 | 14.400      | 19.250      |
| Eff: # of samples of TSS - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)          | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Loading: TSS - WPCP Effluent (kg/d)                      | 47.784    | 58.858    | 51.720    | 74.202    | 58.806    | 52.952    | 73.335    | 66.976    | 75.023    | 52.784    | 91.539    | 49.653    |                 | 62.803      | 91.539      |
| Percent Removal: TSS - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                 | 97.824    | 96.230    | 97.772    | 97.103    | 94.874    | 95.868    | 93.390    | 92.947    | 89.595    | 95.519    | 92.451    | 97.200    |                 |             | 97.824      |
| Total Phosphorus: TP:                                    |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Raw: Avg TP - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                          | 8.630     | 6.193     | 4.670     | 5.068     | 6.140     | 6.958     | 6.330     | 6.493     | 5.720     | 6.728     | 6.588     | 6.050     |                 | 6.297       | 8.630       |
| Raw: # of samples of TP - Raw Sewage (mg/L)              | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Eff: Avg TP - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                       | 0.320     | 0.460     | 0.295     | 0.388     | 0.455     | 0.490     | 0.700     | 0.610     | 0.660     | 0.488     | 0.533     | 0.293     |                 | 0.474       | 0.700       |
| Eff: # of samples of TP - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)           | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Loading: TP - WPCP Effluent (kg/d)                       | 1.296     | 1.900     | 1.489     | 2.322     | 2.019     | 2.076     | 2.731     | 2.439     | 2.572     | 1.867     | 2.532     | 1.383     |                 | 2.052       | 2.731       |
| Percent Removal: TP - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                  | 96.292    | 92.572    | 93.683    | 92.344    | 92.590    | 92.957    | 88.942    | 90.605    | 88.462    | 92.747    | 91.917    | 95.165    |                 |             | 96.292      |
| Nitrogen Series:   |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Raw: Avg TKN - Raw Sewage (mg/L)                         | 54.880    | 49.850    | 44.700    | 38.800    | 52.950    | 55.100    | 50.080    | 50.225    | 51.150    | 57.060    | 54.025    | 47.650    |                 | 50.539      | 57.060      |
| Raw: # of samples of TKN - Raw Sewage (mg/L)             | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Eff: Avg TAN - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                      | 18.300    | 19.525    | 14.550    | 13.960    | 18.100    | 19.125    | 22.640    | 17.875    | 20.075    | 18.500    | 17.175    | 15.775    |                 | 17.967      | 22.640      |
| Eff: # of samples of TAN - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)          | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Loading: TAN - WPCP Effluent (kg/d)                      | 74.105    | 80.645    | 73.417    | 83.537    | 80.331    | 81.016    | 88.314    | 71.475    | 78.238    | 70.761    | 81.672    | 74.598    |                 | 78.176      | 88.314      |
| Eff: Avg NO3-N - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                    | < 0.160   | < 0.175   | < 0.250   | < 0.460   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.125   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.475   |                 | < 0.187     | < 0.475     |
| Eff: # of samples of NO3-N - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)        | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Eff: Avg NO2-N - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)                    | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.100   | < 0.125   |                 | < 0.102     | < 0.125     |
| Eff: # of samples of NO2-N - WPCP Effluent (mg/L)        | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |
| Disinfection:  |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |           |                 |             |             |
| Eff: GMD E. Coli - WPCP Effluent (cfu/100mL)             | 2.000     | 2.000     | 2.000     | 2.000     | 2.000     | 2.632     | 6.662     | 2.632     | 2.000     | 2.000     | 2.632     | 2.000     |                 | 2.547       | 6.662       |
| Eff: # of samples of E. Coli - WPCP Effluent (cfu/100mL) | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 5         | 4         | 4         | 52              |             |             |

# Urban Area Statistiques- Lots approuvés (2018)

# **P**: Population (Urban Area)

|   | Pers./ménage | Population |
|---|--------------|------------|
| Nbre total des ménages en 2004 (voir $H = 3586$ ) | 2.69         | 9,646      |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2005 (voir $H = 3756$ ) | 2.69         | 10,104     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2006 (voir $H = 3854$ ) | 2.69         | 10,367     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2007 (voir H= 3983)     | 2.69         | 10,714     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2008 (voir $H = 4140$ ) | 2.69         | 11,137     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2009 (voir $H = 4271$ ) | 2.69         | 11,489     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2010 (voir $H = 4561$ ) | 2.69         | 12,269     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2011 (voir $H = 4724$ ) | 2.69         | 12,708     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2012 (voir $H = 4882$ ) | 2.69         | 13,133     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2013 (voir $H = 5007$ ) | 2.69         | 13,469     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2014 (voir $H = 5092$ ) | 2.69         | 13,698     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2015 (voir $H = 5184$ ) | 2.69         | 13,945     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2016 (voir $H = 5229$ ) | 2.69         | 14,066     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2017 (voir H = 5399)    | 2.69         | 14,523     |
| Nbre total des ménages en 2018 (voir H = $5549$ ) | 2.69         | 14,927     |

# **H**: Existing Connected population :

| Année                           | Nbr d'unités | Rockland | Ménages |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------|---------|
|                                 | total        | (0,80)   |         |
| 2001 (Statistiques Canada)/juin |              |          | 2990    |
| 2001 – Juin-Dec                 | 174/2        | 70       | 70      |
| 2002                            | 243          | 194      | 194     |
| 2003                            | 165          | 132      | 132     |
| 2004                            | 250          | 200      | 200     |
| 2005                            | 213          | 170      | 170     |
| 2006                            | 98           |          | 98      |
| 2007                            | 129          |          | 129     |
| 2008                            | 157          |          | 157     |
| 2009                            | 131          |          | 131     |
| 2010                            | 290          |          | 290     |
| 2011                            | 163          |          | 163     |
| 2012                            | 158          |          | 158     |
| 2013                            | 125          |          | 125     |
| 2014                            | 85           |          | 85      |
| 2015                            | 92           |          | 92      |
| 2016                            | 45           |          | 45      |

| Total 2018 |     | 5549 |
|------------|-----|------|
| 2018       | 150 | 150  |
| 2017       | 170 | 170  |

\*Historically, residential development in urban area represents approximately 80% of growth. Accurate building statistics from 2006 exist and as such reflect the actual number of units created in that year.

# (a) Terrains vacants dans un lotissement urbain au 31 décembre 2018

L

|   | Unités |
|---|--------|
|   |        |
| Rue Yves Phase 1 (M. Chartrand, 50M-268)              | 22     |
| Regional Group phase 1 (single/town)                  | 27     |
| Spacebuilders Stage 4 (50M-308) – single family/towns | 56     |
| Loda Development                                      | 20     |
| Regional Group Phase 2 (single)                       | 26     |
| Total   | 156    |

# (b) Ébauches de plan de lotissement urbain approuvées au 31 décembre 2018

|                        | Unités |
|------------------------|--------|
| Brigil                 | 716    |
| Stage 5 Morris Village | 653    |
| CH Clément- Caron      | 116    |
| Total                  | 1485   |

# (c) Condos et immeubles à appartements urbain approuvés au 31 décembre 2018

|                    | Unités |
|--------------------|--------|
| Masters Condos     | 8      |
| Marivo appartments | 12     |
| Total              | 20     |

# (d) Bâtiments commerciaux, institutionnel et industriels urbain approuvés/construit au 31 décembre 2018

|                              | Unités       |
|------------------------------|--------------|
| ATG                          | 24,628 sq.ft |
| Kingdom Hall church building | 2640 sq.ft   |
| Total                        | 27,268 sq.ft |

#### **Population de Clarence-Rockland**

| 2001    | 2002 | 2003 | 2004   | 2005   | 2006   |
|---------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|
| 19 612* |      |      |        |        | 20,790 |
| 19 844  |      |      |        |        |        |
|         |      |      |        |        |        |
| 2007    | 2008 | 2009 | 2010   | 2011   | 2012   |
|         |      |      |        | 23 185 |        |
|         |      |      |        |        |        |
| 2013    | 2014 | 2015 | 2016   | 2017   | 2018   |
|         |      |      | 24 512 | 24 912 | 25,247 |
|         |      |      |        |        | -      |

(Stat Can Juin 2001 = 19,612) (Stat Can Feb 2006 = 20,790) (Stat Can Feb 2011 = 23,185) (Stat Can 2016=24,512)

2001 = 174 units/2= 87 x 2,67 =232 personnes

2002 = 243 unités X 2,67 = 649 personnes 2003 = 165 unités X 2,67 = 441 personnes 2004 = 250 unités X 2,69 = 673 personnes 2005 = 213 unités X 2,69 = 573 personnes 2006 = 156 unités X 2,69 = 420 personnes 2007 = 177 unités X 2,69 = 476 personnes 2008 = 210 unités X 2,69 = 565 personnes 2009 = 172 unités X 2,69 = 463 personnes 2010 = 339 unités X 2,69 = 912 personnes 2011 = 214 unités X 2,69 = 576 personnes 2012 = 190 unités X 1.87 = 355 personnes 2013 = 158 unités X 1.87 = 295 personnes 2014 = 123 unités X 1.87 = 230 personnes 2015 = 104 unités X 1.87 = 194 personnes 2016 = 136 unités X 1.87 = 254 personnes 2017 = 214 unités X 1.87 = 400 personnes 2018 = 179 unités X 1.87 = 335 personnes

# Appendix IV



Water Compliance Supervisor Ministry of Environment and Climate Change James.Mahoney@Ontario.ca

Date: March 6<sup>th</sup> 2018

# Re: Notification of Spill Rockland WPCP Collection System Feb 27<sup>th</sup> 2018

This is a written notification of the raw unchlorinated sewage spill on February 27<sup>th</sup> 2018 with the Rockland Collection System, submitted in accordance with terms and conditions the Ontario Water Resources Act, Environmental Protection Act, and the current Environmental Compliance Approval Number 1990-9P3PRG.

This written notice confirms the verbal notifications provided to Julianna Dominsky at the Spills Action Center on February 27<sup>th</sup> 2018 under reference # 7146-AWDN9J.

#### Details:

We received a call from the City on February 27<sup>th</sup> at 11h36 in regards to sewage seeping out of manhole #930 on Pouliotte St. Arrived onsite at 11h45 and noticed the spill. Contacted Clean Water Works for a Hydrovac truck to cleanup and removed blockage in manhole. A set of samples was collected at 12h26 from manhole spillage. CWW arrived onsite at 12h46 and blockage was removed at 12h52. CWW remained onsite until 14h05 to clean between manhole # 520 to #530, #530 to #940 followed by cleaning the side of the road and ditch area.

| Location      | Volume | Start Date                    | End Date                      | Duration | Discharge                        | Disinfection                          |
|---------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|               | (m3)   | and Time                      | and Time                      | (h)      | Receiver                         | Provided                              |
| Pouliotte St. | 5m3    | Feb 27 <sup>th</sup><br>11h36 | Feb 27 <sup>th</sup><br>12h52 | 1.25hrs  | Side of road<br>& Storm<br>ditch | Clean up of<br>area with<br>VAC truck |

## Corrective Action Taken, Remedial Measures, Future Prevention:

- Area was flushed from manhole to manhole.
- Collected a set of samples (results attached)
- Schedule a camera inspection of the area to determine the cause of this blockage.
- Operational staff has been instructed to conduct a visual inspection of the manhole over the next few weeks during their pumping station rounds.

If you have any questions or concerns, do not hesitate to contact me at 613-446-5590.

Sincerely, Pat Lalonde (A)Process and Compliance Technician Prescott Russell Cluster

cc: Jean Veilleux Drinking Water Inspector/Provincial Officer, Ottawa District MOECC Office Denis Longpré, Manager of Environment and Water, Infrastructure and Eng, City Clarence Rockland Maurice Benoit, Senior Operations Manager, Ontario Clean Water Agency Andrew Trader, Regional Hub Manager, Ontario Clean Water Agency Vanessa Greatrix, Safety, Process and Compliance Manager, Ontario Clean Water Agency



Client committed. Quality assured.

# CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

**Final Report** 

#### **REPORT No. B18-05081**

C.O.C.: ---

#### Report To:

Ontario Clean Water Agency - Rockland 125 Edward St., Rockland ON K4K 1J1 Canada <u>Attention:</u> Pat Lalonde

DATE RECEIVED: 27-Feb-18

DATE REPORTED: 06-Mar-18

#### SAMPLE MATRIX: Waste Water

Caduceon Environmental Laboratories 2378 Holly Lane Ottawa Ontario K1V 7P1 Tel: 613-526-0123 Fax: 613-526-1244 JOB/PROJECT NO.: Pouliotte Blockage

P.O. NUMBER: 6816

WATERWORKS NO.

|                         |           | Client I.D. |                     | Pouliotte<br>Blockage |             |  |  |
|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--|--|
|                         |           |             | Sample I.D.         |                       | B18-05081-1 |  |  |
|                         |           |             | Date Collected      |                       | 27-Feb-18   |  |  |
| Parameter               | Units     | R.L.        | Reference<br>Method | Date/Site<br>Analyzed |             |  |  |
| Total Suspended Solids  | mg/L      | 3           | SM 2540D            | 28-Feb-18/O           | 68          |  |  |
| BOD                     | mg/L      | 3           | SM 5210B            | 28-Feb-18/O           | 110         |  |  |
| Nitrite (N)             | mg/L      | 0.1         | SM4110C             | 27-Feb-18/O           | < 0.1       |  |  |
| Nitrate (N)             | mg/L      | 0.1         | SM4110C             | 27-Feb-18/O           | 0.9         |  |  |
| Ammonia (N)-Total       | mg/L      | 0.01        | SM4500-<br>NH3-H    | 01-Mar-18/K           | 21.5        |  |  |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen | mg/L      | 0.1         | E3199A.1            | 01-Mar-18/K           | 3.1         |  |  |
| Phosphorus-Total        | mg/L      | 0.01        | E3199A.1            | 01-Mar-18/K           | 0.35        |  |  |
| E coli                  | cfu/100mL | 1           | MOE E3371           | 27-Feb-18/O           | 72000       |  |  |

John

Tahir Yapici Ph.D Lab Supervisor

R.L. = Reporting Limit

Test methods may be modified from specified reference method unless indicated by an \* Site Analyzed=K-Kingston,W-Windsor,O-Ottawa,R-Richmond Hill,B-Barrie

The analytical results reported herein refer to the samples as received. Reproduction of this analytical report in full or in part is prohibited without prior consent from Caduceon Environmental Laboratories.



Water Compliance Supervisor Ministry of Environment and Climate Change James.Mahoney@Ontario.ca

Date: January 14<sup>th</sup> 2019

# <u>Re: Written Notification of Event Rockland WPCP Collection System as per SAC Report #4161-</u> <u>B76MJX</u>

This is a written notification of the contained raw unchlorinated sewage spill on December 5<sup>th</sup> 2018 within the Rockland Collection System, submitted in accordance with terms and conditions the Ontario Water Resources Act, Environmental Protection Act, and the current Environmental Compliance Approval Number 1990-9P3PRG.

This written notice confirms the verbal notifications provided to Julianna Dominsky at the Spills Action Center on December 5<sup>th</sup> 2018 under reference 4161-B7MJX.

#### Details:

On December 5<sup>th</sup> 2018 at 10h20 Mathieu Carriere went onsite for a visual inspection of the sewage pumping station #7 located at 871 Sterling Rd in Rockland. Upon his arrival he noticed sewage splashes coming out of the wet well cove when the pumps were running. Pumps where shut off and visual inspection concluded that he air release valve had broken off at the top of the forcemain pipe leaving the pump station. New valve was installed to fix the issue. The contained spillage which was in the parking lot was cleaned up by Aqua Drain with a hydro vac truck. Pumps were back online at 11h30 and area was cleaned and completed at 14h00.

## Corrective Action Taken, Remedial Measures, Future Prevention:

- Event was caused by broken valve on the air release valve
- Replaced with a new stainless steel ball valve
- Sewage splashing out of the wet well cover was contained within the facility parking lot and reported to SAC

If you have any questions or concerns, do not hesitate to contact me at 613-446-5590.

Sincerely, Pat Lalonde (A)Process and Compliance Technician Prescott Russell Cluster

cc.. Philippe Cormier, Manager of Environment and Water, Infrastructure and Eng, City Clarence Rockland Maurice Benoit, Senior Operations Manager, Ontario Clean Water Agency Vanessa Greatrix, Safety, Process and Compliance Manager, Ontario Clean Water Agency

# Appendix V



Location

6816, Rockland WWTL & CS

Ontario Clean Water Agency Agence Ontarienne Des Eaux

| Reported By<br>Lead | MAXADMIN                  |  |  | • |  |
|---------------------|---------------------------|--|--|---|--|
| Crew Work Group     | 1215 Rockland Maintenance |  |  |   |  |
|                     |                           |  |  |   |  |

| Sequence | Asset      |                                | Location           |   | Inspected |
|----------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|-----------|
| 1        | 0000102373 | METER FLOW SEWAGE SPS 01       | 6816-SPS1          | 6816, Sewage Pumping Stn 01   | a         |
| 2        | 0000102516 | METER FLOW SLUDGE PUMP<br>LINE | 6816-WLRO-P-<br>PC | 6816, Rockland WWTL & CS,<br>Process, Process Control &<br>Monitoring | ē         |
| 3        | 0000175016 | METER FLOW SEWAGE SPS 07       | 6816-SPS7          | 6816, Sewage Pumping Stn 07   |           |

#### Safety Message

This Work Order (and accompanying Maintenance Procedure) have been developed to aid field personnel in the care and maintenance of the specified equipment. However, maintenance personnel are expected to look for and correct any defects which are not anticipated in the procedure. This document may not provide all the technical information that may be required, and it may be necessary to refer to the manufacturer's manual for further details.

The "As Found" and "As Left" readings, as well as any abnormalities found and any repairs carried out, are to be recorded in the Maximo WMS System.

Isolate and de-energize equipment in accordance with the lock-out procedure.

Take time to identify hazards and plan how each hazard will be eliminated or controlled. Work practices must be in accordance with the Occupational Health & Safety Act and the Ontario Clean Water Agency safety manual.

Ensure direct supervisor or their designate have been notified of entry into the site. This notification should provide approximate time and duration. On completion of duties notification is to be given that site has been vacated and secured.

1 /

2



**Ontario Clean Water Agency** 

**Agence Ontarienne Des Eaux** 

Task Description 10 RUNNING CHECKS 1) Verify calibration parameters and programming parameters where applicable. 2) Ensure proper connections and grounding. 3) Check display for any alarm or error codes. 20 HAVE QUALIFIED TECHNICIAN CALIBRATE UNIT 1. Have a qualified technician calibrate the unit, using actual flow method or flow simulator. 2. Calibration records must be kept for a period of five years. 3. Records shall include the level of accuracy of the equipment as found and as left. 4. Calibration test equipment shall be certified annually and certification dates recorded on the calibration record. Some test equipment may not require calibration RECORD ADJUSTMENTS AND VERIFY OUTPUTS 30 1. Record any adjustments, modifications or replacements made to the equipment during the calibration. 2. Verify accuracy of electronic outputs to the end device as required based on theoretical versus actual values .{Chart recorders, SCADA, Outpost 5}, 3. Ensure all nameplate data is recorded and entered in WMS. 40 COMPLETE A VERIFICATION SHEET FOR EACH FLOW METER, POST IT AND ATTACH TO WORK ORDER Note: Calibration sheet must be signed and original kept on site in the SOP binder. For Field-Use Only - Completion Elements: Work Log: Annual Inspection & Calibration of flow meters Completed By Styphane Barbarie Please Print Name Date Signature 20,2018

2 / 2

# Appendix VI



November30<sup>th</sup>, 2018

Serge Ethier 2918 Champlain st. Bourget, ON KOA-1NO

Re: Annual summary and update for Serge Ethier farm NASM Plan # 22764

During the fall 2018 the biosolids produced by the Rockland sewage plant located at 700 Industrielle st. was hauled and spread on a NASM approved farm owned by Serge Ethier for the first time.

The NASM Plan #22764 was approved October 14<sup>th</sup>, 2016 and will expire December 31<sup>st</sup> 2020. The plan includes four fields, #1 (9.2 ha spreading area) - #2 (9.4 ha spreading area)-#3 (9.7 ha spreading area)- #4 (8 ha spreading area) all receiving biosolids for the duration of the plan. From November 13<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> 1080m3 of biosolids was spread by Lamoureux Pumping Inc. PMAB16278 on field #4 only with a tanker.

## The spreading was as follows:

Field #1 (no biosolids spread)

Field #2 (no biosolids spread)

Field #3 (no biosolids spread)

Field#4 received a spreading rate of 135m<sup>3</sup>/ha (135m<sup>3</sup>/ha allowed), total 1080 m<sup>3</sup>

In 2019 we are planning to continue using this approved farm to spread the Rockland sewage plant biosolids. A meeting with the farmer and his commercial fertilizer supplier is scheduled in the spring 2019 to coordinate nutrients applied.

Regards, *Maurice Benoit*, C. Tech, PMP NASM Plan developer Cert.# NASM16217



November31st, 2018

Pascal Roy 2694 Champlain st. Clarence-Creek, ON KOA-1NO **Re: Annual summary and update for Pascal Roy farm NASM Plan # 22007** 

During the fall 2018 the biosolids produced by the Rockland sewage plant located at 700 Industrielle st. was hauled and spread on a NASM approved farm owned by Pascal Roy.

The NASM Plan #22007 was approved October 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2014 and will expire December 31<sup>st</sup> 2019. The plan includes three fields, #1 (6.8 ha spreading area)- #2 (6.0 ha spreading area)-#3 (4.5 ha spreading area, modified in 2017 due to new tile inlets) all receiving biosolids for the duration of the plan. From November 13<sup>th</sup> to 16<sup>th</sup> 1,298 m3 of biosolids was spread by Lamoureux Pumping Inc. PMAB16278 on all three fields with a tanker, all went well.

## The spreading was as follows:

Field #1 received a spreading rate of 75 m<sup>3</sup>/ha which is the 75m<sup>3</sup> allowed, total ( $510m^3$ ) Field #2 received a spreading rate of 75 m<sup>3</sup>/ha which is the 75 m<sup>3</sup> allowed, total ( $450 m^3$ ) Field #3 received a spreading rate of 75 m<sup>3</sup>/ha which is the 75 m<sup>3</sup> allowed, total ( $338 m^3$ )

In 2019 we are planning to continue using this approved farm to spread the Rockland sewage plant biosolids. A meeting with the farmer and his commercial fertilizer supplier will be scheduled in the spring 2019 to coordinate nutrients applied and discuss possible new operator of the land.

Regards, *Maurice Benoit*, C. Tech, PMP NASM Plan developer Cert.# NASM16217